# Recent Representative IAT Studies in Hypervelocity Penetration Mechanics with Bibliographies

W. G. Reinecke

Institute for Advanced Technology The University of Texas at Austin

February 2002

IAT.R 0264

Approved for public release; distribution unlimited.



### REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE

Form Approved OMB NO. 0704-0188

Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.

1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)	2. REPORT DATE	3. REPORT TY	pe and dates covered
	February 2002	Technic	al Report
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE			5. FUNDING NUMBERS
Recent Representative L Hypervelocity Penetrati with Bibliographies		-	Contract # DAAD17-01-D-0001
6. AUTHOR(S) W. G. Reinecke			
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION N Institute for Advanced The University of Texas 3925 W. Braker Lane, S Austin, TX 78759-5316	Technology s at Austin suite 400		8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER  IAT.R 0264
9. SPONSORING / MONITORING AC U.S. Army Research La ATTN: AMSRL-WM-B Aberdeen Proving Grou	boratory		10. SPONSORING / MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER
11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES			
The view, opinions and/ as an official Departmen	or findings contained in this of the Army position, polic	report are those of the auth y, or decision, unless so des	or(s) and should not be considered ignated by other documentation.
12a. DISTRIBUTION / AVAILABILITY S	STATEMENT		12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE
Approved for public rele	ease; distribution unlimited.		A
13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 word	(c)		
The Institute for Advan	ced Technology (IAT) has		o conduct, a broad program to
experimental, analytical ceramic targets at hyper developed in representa work accomplished sup	, and numerical and are conversely that is, above a stive examples of these study	acerned primarily with slend about two km/s. This repo lies that supported the U.S the agreed-upon SOW. E	te IAT's investigations are der rods impacting armor steel and rt summarizes the results /UK Project Arrangement. The ach summary is accompanied by a
experimental, analytical ceramic targets at hyper developed in representa work accomplished sup	, and numerical and are convelocity — that is, above a stive examples of these students items a), b), and c) of	acerned primarily with slend about two km/s. This repo lies that supported the U.S the agreed-upon SOW. E	ler rods impacting armor steel and rt summarizes the results /UK Project Arrangement. The
experimental, analytical ceramic targets at hyper developed in representation work accomplished supplibiling raphy listing rele	, and numerical and are convelocity — that is, above a stive examples of these students items a), b), and c) of	acerned primarily with slend about two km/s. This repo lies that supported the U.S the agreed-upon SOW. E	ler rods impacting armor steel and rt summarizes the results /UK Project Arrangement. The ach summary is accompanied by a
experimental, analytical ceramic targets at hyper developed in representation work accomplished supplibiling raphy listing rele	, and numerical and are convelocity — that is, above a stive examples of these students items a), b), and c) of	acerned primarily with slend about two km/s. This repo lies that supported the U.S the agreed-upon SOW. E	der rods impacting armor steel and rt summarizes the results /UK Project Arrangement. The ach summary is accompanied by a
experimental, analytical ceramic targets at hyper developed in representation work accomplished supplibiling raphy listing rele	, and numerical and are convelocity — that is, above a stive examples of these students items a), b), and c) of	acerned primarily with slend about two km/s. This repo lies that supported the U.S the agreed-upon SOW. E	ler rods impacting armor steel and rt summarizes the results /UK Project Arrangement. The ach summary is accompanied by a  15. NUMBER OF PAGES 25

## CONTENTS

Introduction	1
Scaling Effects on Penetration.	1
Cavity Models for Eroding Penetrators	4
Failure in Ceramics	8
Yawed Rod Penetration	
Failure Wave Effects	13
Acknowledgement	17
Distribution List	19

### Recent Representative Studies in Hypervelocity Penetration Mechanics with Bibliographies

William G. Reinecke

#### - INTRODUCTION

The Institute for Advanced Technology (IAT) has conducted, and continues to conduct, a broad program to investigate the penetration characteristics and lethality of rod penetrators. The IAT's investigations are experimental, analytical, and numerical and are concerned primarily with slender rods impacting armor steel and ceramic targets at hypervelocity — that is, above about two km/s. Below are summarized the results developed in representative examples of these studies that supported the U.S./UK Project Arrangement. The work accomplished supports items a), b), and c) of the agreed-upon SOW. Each summary is accompanied by a bibliography listing relevant publications generated at the IAT and elsewhere.

#### SCALING EFFECTS IN PENETRATION

The effect of test scale in penetration experiments has recently been examined by a number of authors (see Bibliography) with seemingly contradictory results. To the extent that penetration phenomena depend on test scale, strain rate effects have appeared to be a likely cause. In particular, while it has been shown in calculations that logarithmic rate hardening would result in barely perceptible effects, if there is a transition to linear rate hardening at a small enough scale, then scale effects might become more evident. Considering the difficulties of interpreting penetration experiments, we have conducted "zero penetration" tests; i.e., Taylor tests using common armor steel (RHA). Tests were conducted in the reverse ballistic mode at 215 to 280 m/s. To examine scale effects, two L/D = 5 rod sizes with diameters of 1 cm and 0.2 cm were used.

Taylor tests were performed on common armor steel in the reverse ballistic mode at 215 to 280 m/s to examine scale effects on yield strengths using L/D = 5 rods of 1 cm and 0.2 cm diameter. AUTODYN-2D calculations showed that strain rate scales inversely with size and directly with velocity in agreement with dimensional analysis. Yield strengths  $(Y_d)$  of RHA were determined to be 1.46 to 1.79 GPa using the Wilkins-Guinan formula. It was found that the strength of the smaller specimens was 7 to 9%

more than the larger specimens. This is nearly what would be expected from a logarithmic dependence of strength on scale (strain rate), which predicts an increase of 12%.

### Bibliography

- J. Cazamias and S. Bless, "Scaling Effects in Penetration: A Taylor Test Approach," European DYMAT '97, September 22-26, 1997. Toledo, Spain.
- J. Cazamias and S. Bless, "Scaling Behavior in Penetration: High Strain Rate Effects on Tungsten Heavy Alloy (WHA) and Armor Steel (RHA)," *Proceedings of the 1998 ASME/JSME Joint Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference*, **361**, 1-8 (1998).
- A.L. Gurson, "Effect of Geometric Scale on Impact Induced Fragmentation, and its Application to the Design of Dual Plate Armor," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **10**, 213-229 (1990).
- C.E. Anderson, S.A. Mullin, C.J. Kuhlman, "Computer Simulation of Strain-Rate Effects in Replica Scale Model Penetration Experiments," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **13**, 35-52 (1993).
- L. Magness and W. Leonard, "Scaling Issues for Kinetic Energy Penetrators," *Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 281-289 (1993).
- P.O. Olsson, P. Lundberg, and K. Ragnarsson, "Post-Mortem Studies to Investigate Replica Modeling of Alumina Targets," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 307-314 (1995).
- T.G. Farrand, J.T. Polesne, and J.M. Abeil, "Prediction of Behind Armor Debris Generated for Kinetic Energy Penetrators Against Light Armors," *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 59-68 (1996).
- Z. Rosenberg, R. Krief, and E. Dekel, "On the Geometric Scaling of Long-Rod Penetration," *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 321-328 (1996).
- P. Lundberg and L. Holmberg, "Replica Modeling Experiments with Long Rod Projectiles into Homogeneous Armour Steel," *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 329-338 (1996).

- C.E. Anderson, S.A. Mullin, A.J. Piekutowski, N.W. Blaylock, and K.L. Poormon, "Scale Model Experiments with Ceramic Laminate Targets," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **18**, 1-22 (1996).
- P. Lundberg, L. Westerling, and B. Lundberg, "Influence of Scale on the Penetration of Tungsten Rods into Steel-Backed Alumina Targets," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **18**, 403-416 (1996).
- G.L. Ferguson, "Replica Model Scaling for High Strain-Rate Events," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **16**, 571-583 (1995).
- F. Hörz, M. Cintala, R.P. Bernhard, and T.H. See, "Dimensionally Scaled Penetration Experiments: Aluminum Targets and Glass Projectiles," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **15**, 257-280 (1994).
- B.R. Sorensen, K.D. Kimsey, G.F. Silsby, D.R. Scheffler, T.M. Sherrick, and W.S. De Rosset, "High Velocity Penetration of Steel Targets," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, 11, 107-119 (1991).
- M.E. Backman, S.A. Finnegan, J.C. Schulz, and J. Kenneth, "Scaling Rules for Adiabatic Shear Populations," *Proceedings of the Metallurgical Applications of Shock-Waves and High-Strain-Rate Phenomena*, 675-687 (1986).
- L. Holmberg, P. Lundberg, L. Westerling, "An Experimental Investigation of WHA Long Rods Penetrating Oblique Steel Plates," *Proceedings of the 14th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 515-524 (1993).
- C. Tvrdik and T. Hill, "Analysis of 1/3-Scale Behind-Armor Debris at Super-Ordnance and Hypervelocity," Technical Report, Institute for Advanced Technology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas, IAT.R 0125 (1996).
- D.L. Orphal, R.R. Franze, A.J. Piekutowski, and M.J. Forrestal, "Penetration of Confined Aluminum Nitride Targets by Tungsten Long Rods at 1.5-4.5 km/s," *Int. J. Impact Eng.*, **18**, 355-368 (1996).
- J.D. Yatteau and R.F. Recht, "High Speed Penetration of Spaced Plates by Compact Fragments," *Proceedings of the 9th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 365-374 (1986).

- M.D. Alexander, S.A. Finnegan, and R.A. Zimmerman, "A Study of Scaling Effects in the Modeling of Multiple Fragment Impacts," *Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Ballistics* (1987).
- D.L. Orphal, M.H. Wagner, and C.E. Vivian, "Low Angle Impact and Penetration of Thin Laminated Targets by Spheres," *Proceedings of the 1982 Symposium on Ballistics*, 213-229 (1982).
- D.L. Hall, "Scaling Laws for KE Penetrators," *Proceedings of the 5th International Symposium on Ballistics* (1980).
- G. Taylor, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **194**, 289-299 (1948).
- A.C. Whiffen, *Proc. R. Soc. A*, **194**, 300-322 (1948).
- M.L. Wilkins and M.W. Guinan, "Impact Of Cylinders On A Rigid Boundary," J. Appl. Phys., 44, 1200-1206 (1973).
- Y. Partom, "Modeling Taylor's Anvil Test for Hardening and Rate Sensitive Materials," *Proceedings of the 13th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 291-297 (1992).
- D.C. Erlich and P.F. Chartagnac, "Determination of Dynamic Flow Curve of Metals at Ambient and Elevated Temperatures by Rod Impact Techniques," *Journal de Physique* (*Paris*), Colloque, 45(8), 455-462 (1985).

#### CAVITY MODELS FOR ERODING PENETRATORS

The goal here is to explain the diameter of craters in metal targets that are penetrated by eroding projectiles. At high velocity, craters can be two to three times larger than the penetrator diameter. It is shown in numerical calculations [Kivity and Hirsch, 1987] that the radial motion of target material penetrated by long projectiles occurs in two stages. In the first stage, the radial flow of eroded penetrator elements plays the dominant role in opening a cavity. In the second stage, the radial inertia deposited in the target is responsible for the further cavity expansion (cavitation) until the strength of the target forces it to come to rest.

There have been several previous attempts to explain cavity diameters made by high speed penetrators. An early analytical study based upon a momentum balance argument was presented by Szendrei [1984]. Using an energy balance argument, Tate

provided an approximate formula for the crater diameter [Tate, 1986]. In more recent experiments, Bjerke et al., 1992, presented an empirical equation for the channel diameter versus impact velocity for sintered tungsten-nickel-iron penetrators impacting thick and normal incidence steel targets. By accounting for the first stage with a proper choice of initial conditions, Shinar et al., 1995, modeled the second stage and determined the final crater size. However, there are some difficulties associated with the initial conditions since it is assumed that the inertia phase starts when the cavity radius is equal to the initial penetrator radius. Miller, 1990, presented a complete engineering description of the jet erosion front and kinematics in the absence of material strengths. Szendrei, 1995, reformulated his previous model to explicitly include target strength. For the case of non-eroding projectiles, Hill, 1980, investigated the cavitation produced by an axisymmetric projectile traveling through an infinite medium at constant velocity, although the entire physics is different for hypervelocity attack, where the projectile itself deforms.

Cavity formation during hypervelocity penetration of slender hollow cylinders was modeled by Franzen, 1987, based on hydrodynamic theory. Even though many simplifications were made, the model can provide a criteria for choking of flow on the tube axis. This condition, in which no significant amount of projectile material passes through the axial projectile cavity, occurs for a ratio of the inner diameter to the outer diameter that is less than 0.7.

The IAT developed two analytical models for the crater diameter generated by long-rod and thick-walled tube projectiles. The first, Lee and Bless [1996], is based on energy; in a steady-state penetration, the kinetic energy loss of a penetrator is related to the total energy deposited in the target. This simple approach provides an upper bound for the crater size. The second approach, Lee and Bless [1997], is based on the observation that two mechanisms are involved in cavity growth due to long penetrators: flow of penetrator erosion products, which exerts radial stress on the target and opens a cavity, and radial inertia of the target as it flows around the penetrator nose (cavitation). This analysis includes the centrifugal force exerted by the penetrator, radial inertia of the target, and the strength of the target. Thus it can estimate the extent of cavity growth due to penetrator mushrooming, which cannot be predicted by other analyses. This model was shown to be in good agreement with experimental data. Models have also been extended to account for finite targets [Normandia et al., 1996] and brittle targets [Satapathy and Bless, 2000].

#### **Bibliography**

- M. Normandia, S. Satapathy, D. Littlefield, and C. Anderson, Jr., "Modified Cavity Expansion Analysis for Modeling Finite Target Penetration," *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics*, **3**, 219 (1996).
- M. Lee and S.J. Bless, "Cavity Dynamics for Long-Rod Penetration" *Proceedings of the 16th International Symposium on Ballistics*, **3**, 561 (1996).
- M. Lee and S.J. Bless, "A Two-Stage Model for Cavity Diameter in a Thick Target," *Proceedings of the 14th Army Symposium on Solid Mechanics*, 225-232 (1997).
- M. Lee and S.J. Bless, "Cavity Models for Solid and Hollow Projectiles," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, **21**(10), 881-894 (1998).
- S. Satapathy and S. Bless, "Cavity Expansion Resistance of Brittle Materials Obeying a Two-Curve Pressure-Shear Behavior," *Journal of Applied Physics*, **88**, 4004-4012 (2000).
- C.E. Anderson, D.L. Littlefield, and J.D. Walker, "Long-Rod Penetration, Target Resistance, and Hypervelocity Impact," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, 14, 1-12 (1993).
- T.W. Bjerke, G.F. Silsby, D.R. Scheffler, and R.M. Mudd, "Yawed Long-Rod Armor Penetration," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, **12**(2), 281-292 (1992).
- S.J. Bless, W. Gooch, S. Satapathy, J. Campos, and M. Lee, "Penetration Resistance of Titanium and Ultra-Hard Steel at Elevated Velocities," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, **20**.(1) 121-129 (1997).
- W.S. De Rosset and A.B. Merendino, "Radial Hole Growth: Experiment Vs. Calculation," *Proceedings of the 8th International Symposium on Ballistics*, TB-1 (1984).
- R.R. Franzen, "Notes on Tubular Hypervelocity Penetrators," *Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Ballistics* (1987).
- R. Hill, "Cavitation and the Influence of Headshape in Attack of Thick Targets by Non-Deforming Projectiles," J. Mech. Phys. Solids. 28, 249-263 (1980).
- V. Hohler and A. Stilp, "Hypervelocity Impact of Rod Projectiles with L / D from 1 to 32," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, 5, 323-331 (1987).

- Y. Kivity and E. Hirsch, "Penetration Cutoff Velocity for Ideal Jets," *Proceedings of the 10th International Symposium on Ballistics* (1987).
- N.J. Lynch, R. Subramanian, C. Brissenden, and P. Shears, "Terminal Ballistic Performance of Novel KE Projectiles," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Ballistics*, **2**(1), 35-42 (1995)
- C.W. Miller, "Two-Dimensional Engineering Model of Jet Penetration," *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Ballistics*, **3**, 8 (1990).
- Y. Partom, "Does Target Resistance to Long Rod Penetration Decrease with Increasing Impact Velocity?," Technical Report, Institute for Advanced Technology, The University of Texas at Austin, Austin, Texas (1993), IAT.R 0028.
- Y. Partom, "Projectile-Flow Effect for Long Rod Penetration," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 1, 107-113 (1995).
- E. Perez, "Experimental and Theoretical Study on the Penetration of Semi-Infinite Metal Target by Great-Length Metal Projectiles with a Velocity Higher than 2000 m/s," Science et Techniques de l'Armement, 56, 1-155 (1982).
- M.N. Raftenberg, "Steel Plate Perforation by Small L/D Tungsten Rods: Effects of Strength, Equation of State, and Drag Flares," *Structures Under Extreme Loading Conditions*, 1996 ASME Pressure Vessels and Piping Conference, Quebec, Canada.
- S. Satapathy and S. Bless, "Calculation of Penetration Resistance of Brittle Materials Using Spherical Cavity Expansion Analysis," *Mechanics of Material*, **23**(4), 323 (1996).
- G.I. Shinar, N. Barnea, M. Ravid, and E. Hirsch, "An Analytical Model for the Cratering of Metallic Targets by Hypervelocity Long Rods," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Ballistics* (1995).
- T. Szendrei, "Analytical Model for Crater Formation by Jet Impact and Its Application on Penetration Curves and Profiles," Proceedings of the 7th International Symposium on Ballistics, 575-583 (1984).
- T. Szendrei, "Analytical Model for High-Velocity Impact Cratering with Material Strengths: Extensions and Validation," *Proceedings of the 15th International Symposium on Ballistics*, 1, 123-131 (1995).

A. Tate, "Further Results in the Theory of Long Rod Penetration," *J. Mech. Phys. Solids*, 17, 141-150 (1969).

A. Tate, "Long Rod Penetration Models: Part II. Extensions to the Hydrodynamic Theory of Penetration," *Int. J. Mech. Sci.*, **28**(9), 599-612 (1986).

#### **FAILURE IN CERAMICS**

Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (alumina and sapphire) is the most common ceramic material used for impact protection. Alumina is the most widely used armor material mainly due to the fact that it is the cheapest high-grade ceramic.

There has been a great effort to characterize alumina's dynamic properties, and there are several different computer models for it [Simha, 1998]. Although many features of the response of alumina are felt to be understood, one of the most important behavior patterns is not presently described by any model — the time-dependent degradation of strength in both thick and thin tiles [Rajandran, 1999; Bless, et al., 1993]. Hence, research on this material continues. Sapphire is a poorer armor material [Rosenberg, et al., 1987], but is commonly used for shock windows and some transparent armor applications. Recently, there has been a growing interest in the polycrystalline ceramic aluminum oxynitride (AlON, Al<sub>23</sub>O<sub>27</sub>N<sub>5</sub>, or transparent alumina).

AlON first came to prominence as a possible replacement for MgF<sub>2</sub> as an IR transparent dome for missiles [Hartnett, Gentilman, 1984.] Currently, AlON is regarded as the best transparent armor material [Brar, 1998]. AlON has spinel crystal structure (i.e., cubic symmetry) which results in isotropic optical and mechanical properties. Consequently, if the ceramic is 100% dense with no voids, inclusion, or grain boundary phases, it will be transparent. For reference, polycrystalline alumina has hexagonal symmetry, with voids, inclusions, and grain boundary phases.

Aside from applications, AlON, because it is transparent, seems to offer the potential of greatly improved understanding of the development of impact damage in alumina. AlON might also surpass sapphire as a shock window.

Since it is transparent, AlON also raises comparisons with glass. Glass is a poor shock window material because of failure waves. Its use as an armor material is mixed. It is remarkably effective against shaped charges, but is poor against ballistic impact. The good performance against shaped charges is usually attributed to the dilatent

behavior of glass which interferes with the jet, although we have recently shown that it also exhibits high strength against ballistic penetration if the penetration velocity is higher than the failure wave velocity [Zilberbrand, et al., 1998].

The series of experiments conducted by the IAT [Cazamias and Bless, 1999; Cazamias et al., 2001 (a, b)] consisted of bar impact tests, which provide a good way to study failure under no or partial confinement and over relatively long time scales. It also allows optical diagnostics to determine damage intensity and morphology, and gauge measurements to determine strength. Plate impact tests are currently being performed at Lawrence Livermore National Laboratory, measuring the Hugoniot Elastic Limit (HEL), spall strength, release wave speeds, and failure wave properties.

The IAT performed bar impact experiments on aluminum oxynitride (AION), a transparent polycrystalline ceramic, measuring the peak stress and taking high-speed photographs. The peak stress measured in the AION bars is 4 GPa, about 10% higher than that measured in AD-99.5 bars. Failure was observed propagating up the center of the bar, leaving the outer part intact at relatively late times. There was anomalous damage to the witness bar, similar to what happens with glass bars, indicating either retained strength in the center column or explosive fracture of the AION bar.

### Bibliography

- C.H.M. Simha, *High Rate Loading of a High Purity Ceramic 1D Stress Experiments and Constitutive Modeling*, Ph.D. Thesis, U.T. Austin, 1998.
- S. Bless, C. Anderson, D. Littlefield, and R. Subramanian "Predictions of Large Scale High Velocity Penetration Experiments on Ceramic Armor," 13<sup>th</sup> Army Symposium on Solid Mechanics, 1, 9-22 (1993).
- Z. Rosenberg, S.J. Bless, N.S. Brar, Int. J. Impact. Engng., 9, 45-49 (1987).
- T.M. Hartnett, R.L. Gentilman, "Optical and Mechanical Properties of Highly Transparent Spinel and AlON Domes" *Advances in Optical Materials*, 1984.
- N.S. Brar, Advanced Lightweight Transparent Armor Program briefing at the 33<sup>rd</sup> Meeting Combined Lightweight Survivability Panel, August 1998, Detroit, Michigan.
- E.L. Zilberbrand, A.S. Vlasov, J.U. Cazamias, S.J. Bless, and A.A. Kozhushko, "Failure Wave Effects in Hypervelocity Penetration," *Int. J. Impact. Engng.*, **23**(1) 995-1001, 1999.

- J. Cazamias, B. Reinhart, C. Konrad, L. Chhabildas, and S. Bless, "Bar Impact Tests on Alumina (AD995)," 12th APS Topical Conference, Shock Compression on Condensed Matter, June 24-29, 2001a, Atlanta, Georgia.
- J. Cazamias and S. Bless, "Bar Impact Tests on Transparent Materials," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium and Exhibition on Ballistics* (ISB), **2**, 724-730 (1999).
- J. U. Cazamias, P. S. Fiske, and S. J. Bless, "The Hugoniot Elastic Limit of AlON." 12th APS Topical Conference, Shock Compression on Condensed Matter, June 24-29, 2001b, Atlanta, Georgia.
- S. Bless, S. Satapathy, and C. Simha, "Response of Alumina Ceramics to Impact and Penetration," *Structures Under Shock and Impact IV*, Eds. N. Jones, C. Brebbia, and A. Watson, 521-532 (1996).
- H. Simha, S. Bless, and A. Bedford, "Modelling Precursor Decay in AD-99.5 Alumina." *Proceedings of the 1997 Topical Group Conference on Shock Compression of Condensed Matter.*
- J. Cazamias, S. Bless, H. Simha, and T. Hartnett, "Dynamic Failure of Transparent Polycrystalline Ceramics," 11th American Physical Society (APS) Topical Group on Shock Compression of Condensed Matter, June 27 July 2, 1999, Snowbird, Utah.
- J. Cazamias and S. Bless, "Bar Impact Tests on Transparent Materials," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium and Exhibition on Ballistics*, **2**, 724-730 (1999).

#### YAWED ROD PENETRATION

Many practical problems in terminal ballistics require predictions of penetration from yawed long rod penetrators. In recent years there have been analytic treatments of yaw effects in thin plates [Yatteau, Recht, Edquist, 1998; Bless, Satapathy, Normandia, 1998], but the effects of yaw on long rod penetration of thick targets still must rely on empirical descriptions.

Yaw effects are usually assumed to scale with yaw ( $\gamma$ ) divided by critical yaw ( $\gamma$ c). Critical yaw is the yaw angle at which the rear of the rod contacts the entrance lip of the crater, and it is given by:

$$\gamma_c = \sin^{-1} \left( \frac{H/D - 1}{2L/D} \right), \tag{1}$$

where H = hole diameter, D = rod diameter, and L = rod length.

There exist several empirical models [Yaziv, Rosenberg, Riegel, 1990; Bjerke, Silsby, Scheffler, Mudd, 1992], but they present penetration only as a function of critical yaw angle,  $\gamma_c$ . However, the existing empirical models are only appropriate for restricted ranges of L/D ratio,  $\gamma/\gamma_c$  ratio, and impact velocity. At the IAT, we are especially interested in relatively high L/D ratios and higher than ordnance velocity. So, we have developed a new empirical equation to describe yaw effects in order to have more accurate predictions for the fineness ratios and velocities of most interest to us. An empirical study of the effects of yaw on thin plates was reported in Bless et al., 1991a.

The effect of yaw on penetration of high L/D ratio tungsten rods striking RHA was examined on the velocity range 1.5 to 3 km/s. An improved empirical equation was developed that fits both data and CTH calculations and was calibrated for the velocity and L/D range of interest [Bless et al., 1999b]. The form of this equation is:

$$\frac{p}{p_n} = \begin{cases}
1 & \gamma \leq \gamma_c \\
\max \left( X \left( \frac{L}{D} \right)^n \left( \frac{\gamma}{\gamma_c} \right)^b (\cos \gamma)^c, \frac{\sqrt{\frac{\rho_p D^2 V^2}{2R_c}}}{p_n} \right) & \gamma > \gamma_c
\end{cases}$$
(2)

where  $P_n$  is the penetration of an unyawed rod, L = rod length, D = rod diameter,  $\gamma = \text{yaw}$  angle (in radians),  $R_t$  is the target penetration resistance,  $r_p$  is the penetrator density, X = 1.221, a = -0.099, b = -0.077, and c = 3.844. An analytic model for yaw effects based on slot cutting forces was reported in Bless et al, 1998.

#### **Bibliography**

S.J. Bless and S. Satapathy, "Penetration of Thick Targets by Yawed Long Rods," *Shock Compression of Condensed Matter*, 933-936 (1998).

- M. Lee and S.J. Bless, "A Discreet Impact Model for Effect of Yaw Angle on Penetration by Rod Projectiles," *Shock Compression of Condensed Matter*, 929-932 (1998).
- C. Anderson, Jr., S. Bless, T. Sharron, S. Satapathy, and M. Normandia, "Investigation of Yawed Impact into a Finite Target," *Shock Compression of Condensed Matter*, 925-928 (1997).
- S. Bless, S. Satapathy, and M. Normandia, "Projectile Damage in Yawed Impacts," International Conference on Computational Engineering Science ICES98, October 1998, Atlanta, Georgia.
- S.J. Bless, S. Satapathy, and C.H.M. Simha, "Empirical Scaling Model for Yawed Rod Penetration," 15th United States Army Symposium on Solid Mechanics, April 12-14, 1999b, Myrtle Beach, South Carolina.
- S. Bless, R. Subramanian, M. Normandia, and J. Campos, "Reverse Impact Results for Yawed Long Rods Perforating Oblique Plates," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium and Exhibition on Ballistics (ISB)*, **2**, 693-701, (1999a).
- J.D. Yatteau, G.W. Recht, and K.T. Edquist, "Transverse Loading and Response of Long Rod Penetrators During High Velocity Plate Perforation," *Int. J. Impact Engng.* **23** (2) 967-980 (1998).
- S. Bless, S. Satapathy, and M. Normandia, "Transverse Loads on a Yawed Projectile," *Int. J. Impact Engng.* **23** (1) 77-86 (1998).
- D. Yaziv, Z. Rosenberg, and J.P. Riegel, "Penetration Capability of Yawed Long Rod Penetrators," *Proceedings of the 12th International Symposium on Ballistics*, **III**, 202-207 (1990).
- T.W. Bjerke, G.F. Silsby, D.R. Scheffler, and R.M. Mudd, "Yawed Long-Rod Armor Penetration," *Int. J. Impact Engng.*, **12**, 281-292 (1992).
- Y.I. Bukharev and V.I. Zhukov, "Model of the Penetration of a Metal Barrier by a Rod Projectile with an Angle of Attack," *Fizika Goreniya I vzryva*, **31**, 104-109 (1995).
- G. Hauver and A. Melani, "Penetration by Very Long Rods," BRL Tech Report BRL-TR-2666, DTIC AD B095457, August 1985.

#### FAILURE WAVE EFFECTS

Dynamic resistance to penetration, R<sub>t</sub>, is conventionally computed from the Alekseevski-Tate equation (see, for example, Vlasov et al., 1997). It has been noted that whereas R<sub>t</sub> for metals is several times the compressive strength, for brittle materials R<sub>t</sub> is generally about equal to the compressive strength. R<sub>t</sub> values for metals can be derived from cavity expansion analysis assuming elastic-plastic behavior (see, for example, Chocron et al., 1998). R<sub>t</sub> values for brittle materials can also be computed from cavity expansion analysis, but it is necessary to make some assumptions about the properties of the fractured material ahead of the penetrator (see, for example, Satapathy and Bless, 1995).

In order to understand the relationship between  $R_t$  and the fundamental strength of brittle materials, two different penetration modes should be distinguished, depending on whether the penetration velocity,  $U_t$ , is below or above the failure wave velocity,  $V_t$ , which can be crudely defined as the ultimate rate of propagation of brittle fracture. In general,  $V_t$  is assumed to range between the single crack propagation rate (lower limit) and the shear wave speed (upper limit). It should be noted, however, that precise measurements of single crack propagation rates are rare, and that sometimes fracture travels along with the shock front.

Investigations of brittle materials performed at penetration velocities below  $V_f$  (impact velocities up to about 2 km/s) show the penetration parameters to depend primarily on the fracture kinetics (for example, Vlasov et al., 1997, and Satapathy and Bless, 1995). These kinetics govern the target strength degradation in a zone ahead of the penetrating projectile and, consequently, the penetration resistance. The fracture kinetics, in turn, depend on the target and projectile geometry, impact pressure, and material properties. For this reason, no direct correlation between the impact behavior of brittle materials and their initial strength properties has been found at  $U < V_f$ .

For brittle materials at  $U > V_f$ , the projectile interacts with an intact material, and impact behavior of brittle materials should thus be determined by initial strength properties rather than by the fracture kinetics [Lazarev et al., 1993]. This type of penetration is similar to indentation. A study performed at the Ioffe Physico-Technical Institute at  $U > V_f$  shows brittle materials to exhibit strength resistance to penetration comparable to their microhardness; i.e., about one order of magnitude higher than most hard metals and alloys [Kozhushko et al., 1991].

The data reported by Lazarev et al., 1993, for  $U > V_f$  were obtained in experiments using shaped charge jets. The jets exhibit a velocity gradient along the axis which is difficult to account for in calculations. As a rule,  $R_t$  is estimated with an error of about 15-20%. One should also keep in mind a possible interaction of the jet with crater walls, resulting in a reduction of the jet's effective length, which is considered by some authors to be responsible for the high ballistic performance of brittle materials against shaped charge jets.

To obtain more reliable data of  $R_t$  and demonstrate unambiguously the high-strength state of brittle materials at  $U > V_f$ , experiments using tungsten long-rod projectiles were performed against glass. Glass is a common armor material, and it is also often treated as a prototypical brittle solid. More importantly, sound speeds in glass are about half of those in ceramics, allowing easier access to the supersonic penetration regime. Two sets of experiments were conducted:

- 1. Penetration at velocities  $U < V_f$  to demonstrate the degradation of the strength of a brittle target when the target material is comminuted ahead of the penetrator.
- 2. Penetration at velocities  $U > V_f$  to determine the true strength of the glass. It should be noted that eventually the target material ahead of the projectile undergoes failure due to the reflection of stress waves from side and rear surfaces.

Experimental data for penetration into glass may be classified into two groups.

- 1. Data obtained at penetration velocities below 2.4 km/s. The observations that the projectile consumption rate in Al and glass is the same, and that the ratio of U/V for WA projectiles penetrating glass targets corresponds to the hydrodynamic prediction, are indicative of purely hydrodynamic phenomena in the glass. In other words, the penetration resistance is of an inertial nature, and high-velocity strength effects may be neglected. Physically, it means that the projectile penetrates into comminuted glass since the failure wave travels ahead of the projectile-target interface.
- 2. Data obtained at penetration velocities above 2.6 km/s. In this regime, U/V is approximately equal to 0.66, which is markedly less than the hydrodynamic U/V ratio. This gives an R<sub>t</sub> for the glass on the order of 8 to 9 GPa. This agrees with that found in experiments with shaped charge jets [Lazarev et al., 1993.]

The gap between these two regimes allows an estimate of the failure wave velocity on the order of 2.4 to 2.6 km/s for soda-lime glass. This estimate is in line with data reported by Bless et al., 1992.

We may conclude, then, that normal penetration may be represented as a two-stage process. The first stage involves penetration with a low velocity that increases as the target material is being fractured. The resistance of brittle materials to penetration decreases with time and is determined by the fracture kinetics. The second stage consists of quasi-steady penetration into comminuted material of very little strength, and the penetration is essentially hydrodynamic. A high value of the penetration resistance,  $R_t = 5$  GPa, exceeding inertial resistance, is observed during the first stage. It is this stage that is responsible for the high ballistic performance of ceramics as armor materials. For glass, this normal penetration sequence is observed at penetration velocities up to 2.4 km/s.

At a higher impact velocity providing a penetration velocity over 2.6 km/s, a significant deviation of penetration parameters from the hydrodynamic predictions has been found. Under these conditions, the glass shows even greater resistance to penetration, R<sub>t</sub>, of about 8 to 9 GPa. This value is in good agreement with data obtained in experiments with shaped charge jets at Ioffe Institute [Kozhushko et al., 1991]. The above result indicates that a projectile travels ahead of the failure wave in the target and interacts with intact material. Under these conditions, the strength resistance to penetration is determined by fundamental strength properties of the intact target material rather than by the fracture kinetics.

The available data also enables an estimate of the failure wave velocity in sodalime glass. It lies in the range 2.4 to 2.6 km/s. These results are reported in two joint IAT-Russian papers: Zilberbrand et al., 1999; and Satapathy et al., 1999.

#### **Bibliography**

R.J. Eichelberger and R. Heine-Geldern, "Protection Against Shaped Charges," OSRD 6384 (Final Report), February 1946.

A.S. Vlasov, Yu. A. Emelyanov, E.L. Zilberbrand, A.A. Kozhushko, A.I. Kozachuk, G.S. Pugachev, and A.B. Sinani, "Some Features of the Kinetics of High-Speed Penetration in Brittle Media," *Tech. Phys. Lett.*, 2 (2), 117-119 (1997).

- S. Chocron, C.E. Anderson, Jr., and J.D. Walker, "Long-Rod Penetration: Cylindrical vs. Spherical Cavity Expansion for Extent of Plastic Flow," *Proceedings of the 17th International Symposium Ballistics*, **3**, 319-326 (1998).
- S. Satapathy and S. Bless, "Cavity Expansion Analysis of Brittle Materials," *Metallurgical and Materials Applications of Shock-Wave and High-Strain-Rate Phenomena*, Ed. L. E. Murr, K. P. Staudhammer, M. A. Meyers, Elsevier, 187-194 (1995).
- V.B. Lazarev, A.S. Balankin, A.D. Izotov, and A.A. Kozhusbko, "Structure Stability and Dynamic Strength of Inorganic Materials," M. Nauka, in Russian (1993).
- A.A. Kozhushko, I.I. Rykova, and A.B. Sinani, "Resistance of Ceramics to Penetration at Impact Velocities above 5 km/s," *J. de Physique. IV, Colloque C3, Suppl JPIII*, 1 (8), 117-122 (1991).
- E.L. Zilberbrand, N.A. Zlatin, A.A. Kozhushko, V.I. Polozenko, G.S. Pugachev, and A.B. Sinani, "Mechanism of Interaction of a Plastic Striker with a Brittle Solids," *Sov Phys Tech. Phys.*, **34** (10), 1123-1125 (1989).
- G. Hauver, "Variation of Target Resistance during Long Rod Penetration into Ceramics," *Proceedings of the 13th Int'l Symp. Ballistics*, **3**, 257 (1992).
- S.J. Bless, N.S. Brar, G. Kanel, and Z. Rosenberg, "Failure Waves in Glass," *J. Amer. Ceram. Soc.*, 75 (4), 1002-1004 (1992).
- E.L. Zilberbrand, A.S. Vlasov, J.U. Cazamias, S.J. Bless, and A.A. Kozhushko, "Failure Wave Effects in Hypervelocity Penetration," *Int. J. of Impact Eng.*, **23**, 995-1001 (1999).
- S. Satapathy, S. Bless, and S.M. Ivanov, "The Effects of Failure Wave on Penetration Resistance of Glass," *Proceedings of the 18th International Symposium and Exhibition on Ballistics (ISB)*, **2**, 1159-1167 (1999).

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENT**

The research reported in this document/presentation was performed in connection with Contract number DAAD17-01-D-0001 with the U.S. Army Research Laboratory. The views and conclusions contained in this document are those of the authors and should not be interpreted as presenting the official policies or position, either expressed or implied, of the U.S. Army Research Laboratory or the U.S. Government unless so designated by other authorized documents. Citation of manufacturer's or trade names does not constitute an official endorsement or approval of the use thereof. The U.S. Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for Government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation hereon.

INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.

Administrator, Defense Technical Information Center ATTN: DTIC-DDA 3725 John J. Kingman Road, Suite 944

<sup>5</sup>t. Belvoir, VA 22060-6218

Director J.S. Army Research Lab ATTN: AMSRL OP SD TA 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

Director J.S. Army Research Lab ATTN: AMSRL OP SD TL 2800 Powder Mill Road Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

Director
J.S. Army Research Lab
ATTN: AMSRL OP SD TP
2800 Powder Mill Road
Adelphi, MD 20783-1145

Army Research Laboratory AMSRL-CI-LP Technical Library 305 Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Or. Charles Anderson, Jr.
outhwest Research Institute
220 Culebra Rd.
O. Drawer 28510
an Antonio, TX 78284

oseph E. Backofen Frigs Co. 668 Petersborough St. Jerndon, VA 22071-2443 Ernest L. Baker B-3022, AMSTA-AR-WEE-C US Army TACOM-ARDEC Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Dr. Dennis W. Baum Group Leader Lawrence Livermore National Lab PO Box 808-L-170 Livermore, CA 94551-0808

Dr. Claude Berner French-German Research Institute of Saint Louis 5 rue du General Cassagnou B.P 34 F-68301 Saint Louis Cedex, France

Dr. Stephan Bless Institute for Advanced Technology The University of Texas at Austin 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78759-5316

Mr. Douglas K. Brabston Project Officer, Mounted Systems Div. US Army Infantry Center ATTN: ATZB-CDM Fort Benning, GA 31905-5400

Dr. N. Singh Brar University of Dayton Research Institute 300 College Park Shroyer Park Center Dayton, OH 45469-0182

Dr. Ronald E. Brown BAE Systems San Ramon Technology Center 2682 Bishop Drive, Suite 212 San Ramon, CA 94583

Dr. Bruce Burns U.S Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WT-PD Bldg. 390 Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Mr. John Butler Directorate of Combat Development Attn: ATZK-CD U.S. Army Armor Center and School Fort Knox, KY 40121-5000

Mr. Pierre-Yves Chanteret ISL-France-German Research Institute of Saint-Louis BP 34 Saint-Louis Cedex, France 68301

Dr. Randolph S. Coates US Army Research Laboratory AMSRL-WT-TC APG, MD 21005-5066

Mr. Herve Couque Giat Industries BEI/Service EI/AC/A2C/MTS 7, Route De Guerry Bourges, France 18005

A. D. Dupuis
Delivery Systems Section
Defence Research Establishment Valcartier
2459, Boul. Pie-XI Nord
Val-Belair, QC
Canada G3J 1X5

Dr. Michael R. Edwards Department of Materials and Medical Sciences Cranfield University RMCS Shrivenham Swindon, SN6 8LA United Kingdom Mr. Hans-Jurgen Ernst French-German Research Institute of Saint-Louis Cedex 5 5rue du General Cassagnou Saint Louis, France F68301

Mr. William Flis Dyna East Corporation 3620 Horizon Drive King of Prussia, PA 19406-2647

Dr. Marilyn Freeman SAAL-ZT Suite 9815 2511 Jefferson Davis Highway Arlington, VA 22202

Dr. David Gee Institute for Advanced Technology The University of Texas at Austin 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78759

Dr. W. J. Gillich U.S. Army Research Laboratory AMSRL-WT-TA Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Dr. Marc Giraud French German Research Institute PO Box 34 5 Rue du General Cassagnou St. Louis, F68301 FRANCE

Dr. V. Hohler Ernst Mach Institute Eckerstrasse 4 D-79104 Frieburg GERMANY

4r. Lars Holmberg National Defence Research Est. Nox 551 Tumba, Weden S-14725 Mr. Kent Kimsey U.S. Army Research Lab Attn: AMSRL-WT-TC Aberdeen Prvg Grnd, MD 21005-5006

im Holmquist
J.S. Army High Performance Computing Research Center
200 Washington Avenue S
4inneapolis, MN 55415

Anatoli Kozhushko Russian Academy of Sciences Ioffe Physical Technical Institute Hypervelocity Impact Research Group St. Petersburg 194021 RUSSIA

4r. Robert R. IJsselstein NO Prins Maurits Laboratory NO Defense Research o Box 45 iJswijk, he Netherlands NL-2280 AA Mr. Dennis Ladd Commander, TACOM-ARDEC Attn: AMSTA-AR-FSP-E / Dennis Ladd Bldg. 354 Picatinny Arsenal, NJ 07806-5000

Or. Bo S. G. Janzon
OA-National Defence Research Establishment tockholm,
weden S-172 90

Mr. John Lewis US Army TACOM-TARDEC Attn: AMSTA-TR-D, MS 207 Warren, MI 48397-5000

4r. William Jones IQ, US Army TRADOC CSCD t. Monroe, VA 23651-5000 Dr. David Littlefield Institute for Advanced Technology The University of Texas at Austin 3925 W. Braker Lane, Suite 400 Austin, TX 78759

'aleriy V. Kartuzov lational Academy of Sciences of Ukraine rantzevich Institute for Problems of laterials Science Department 44 , Krzhyzhanovsky Str. 52142, Kiev, Ukraine Richard Lloyd Raytheon Systems Company Mail Stop T3TB8 P.O. Box 1201 Tewksburg, MA 01876-0901

1r. Gary Katulka J.S. Army Research Laboratory-WTD .TTN: AMSRL-WM-PA .PG, MD 21005-5066 Mr. Nicholas J. Lynch DERA Fort Halstead Bldg. A 20, Div. WX5 Sevenoaks, Kent, TN14 7BP United Kingdom

MAJ Markovich Cdr. U.S. Army ARMC Attn: ATZK-CDM Bldg. 1109B Fort Knox, KY 40121

Mr. Daniel Matuska Primex 4565 Commercial Drive Niceville, FL 32578

Dr. Meir Mayseless RAFAEL Ballistic Center Armanment Development Authority P.O. Box 2250 Haifa, 31021 Israel

Mr. Jukla Merikoski Defence Forces Research Institute Fin Laklala, Finland

Dr. Frederik J. Mostert Division of Denel (PTY) Ltd. Somerset West, South Africa

Michael J. Murphy Lawrence Livermore National Lab L-282 P.O. Box 808 Livermore, CA 94551-0808

Mr. Dennis Nandlall Defence Research Establishment Valcartier Quebec, Canada Dr. Pieter B. Nel ARMSCOR P/BAG X337 Nossob Street 370 Pretoria, 0001 South Africa

Dr. Michael Normandia U.S. Army Research Laboratory ATTN: AMSRL-WM-TA Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Dr. W. Oberle US Army Ballistics Research Laboratory ATTN: SLCBR-IB-B Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Dr. Dennis L. Orphal International Research Associates 4450 Black Ave., Suite E Pleasanton, CA 94566

Dr. Martin N. Raftenberg US Army Research Lab AMSRL-WT-TD Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Dr. A. M. Rajendran U.S. Army Research Office P. O. Box 12211 Research Triangle Park, NC 27709

Dr. Wessel Rossouw Rossouw LIW, A Division of Denel Centurion Pretoria, South Africa

Dr. Edward M. Schmidt J.S. Army Research Laboratory AMSRL-WM-B Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066

Mr. Steve Schraml
J.S. Army Research Laboratory
Attn: AMSRL-WM-TC
Aberdeen Prvg Grnd, MD 21005-5066

Or. Ashok K. Sharma
Defence R & D Organisation
Ministry of Defencem, India
New Delhi,
ndia

Donald A. Shockey SRI International 333 Ravenswood Ave Menlo Park, CA 94025

Ar. Graham Silsby
JS Army Research Lab
AMSRL-WM-TC
Aberdeen Pvrg Grd, MD 21005-5066

As. Munira Tourner
JS Army TACOM-TARDEC
utn: AMSTA-TR-D, MS 207
Varren, MI 48397-5000

Carl-Ernst Weber raunhofer-Institut fur Kurzzeitdynamik nstitut Kurzzeitdynamik rnst-Mach-Institut Eckerstr.4 reiburg, D-79104 Jermany

Dr. Louis Zernow President Zernow Technical Services Inc. Suite 208 425 West Bonita Ave. San Dimas, CA 91773-2542

Mr. Mike Zoltoski U.S. Army Research Laboratory Attn: AMSRL-WM-TA Aberdeen Prvg Grd, MD 21005-5066 INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK.